

# Week 4 Presentation

Sahil Shah

# Learn about HMM

- Read papers about how Hidden Markov Models work and their application to computer vision

# Format Event Annotations

- Given annotations for 3 classes (board trick, flash mob, making a sandwich)
- Quantize data – give each type of concept a numerical ID
- Tabularize data based on video

# Train HMM

- Use annotation data to generate the maximum likelihood prior, transition and observation matrices
- Separate HMM model for each of the three classes

# Test HMM

- First test was of each video against each of the three models
- 82 correct classifications out of 88 videos (93% precision)
- High precision expected because annotations were done manually for preliminary tests

# Test HMM #2

- Partition training and testing data using K-Fold for each event (K=5)

		testing/training		
		E001	E008	E011
Log likelihood		-61 / -411	-91 / -343	$-\infty$ / -85
		$-\infty$ / -331	-63 / -372	-13 / -88
		-127 / -375	-77 / -356	-19 / -84
		-115 / -363	-103 / -329	-13 / -90
		-98 / -374	-110 / -326	$-\infty$ / -47
Average		-100 / -371	-89 / 345	-15 / 78.8

# Test HMM #3

- Forward algorithm (also known as  $\alpha$ -pass)
- Calculate  $P(O | \lambda) / N^T$

Test ID	T	$P(O   \lambda)$	$P(O   \lambda) / N^T$
1	9	5.55e-6	10.8
2	13	2.50e-10	.305
3	7	1.48e-6	.288
4	6	4.75e-5	0.7423
5	10	4.71e-18	4.6e-10

# Continuing Work

- Look at why the forward probabilities are so low
- Look at the effects of varying the number of hidden states
- Train this HMM for more annotations to get a better idea of its effectiveness



# The end

